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## Introduction

In the first section of this paper the complete Hilbert functions for graded modules over a polynomial ring $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ where $K$ is a field are studied. The principal results are Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In the second section, 1.1 is applied to graded modules for the more general case where $K$ is an arbitrary commutative ring. Theorem 1.2 resembles the main result of [1] and is included since it follows easily from the theory developed to prove 1.1. The main accomplishment of the second section is the development of a criterion for determining when a finitely generated graded module over a polynomial ring that happens to be flat over the coefficient ring is finitely presented.

Notation. If $A$ is an integral domain, qf $A$ denotes the quotient field of $A$. We let $k(p)=\mathrm{qf} R / p$ whenever $p$ is a prime ideal of the ring $R$. For the benefit of those who do not like to read sequentially an index of the definitions used appears at the end.

## 1. Dimension functions

A dimension function is any function $f: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ with the following properties:
(1) There exists $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $f(n)=0$ if $n<a$;
(2) There exists $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and a polynomial $g(r)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $f(r)=g(r)$ whenever $r>b$.

We define the degree of the dimension function $f$ to be the degree of the polynomial $g$ (as in 2 of the above definition). If degree $g \leq m$ and we write the coefficient of $r^{m}$ in $g$ as $n / m!$, a simple induction shows that $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (for indeed the coefficient of $r^{m-1}$ in $g(r)-g(r-1)$ is $n /(m-1)$ !). We call $n$ the type of $f$ when it is understood in advance that $f$ is of degree $\leq m$. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are dimension functions, we shall write $f_{1} \leq f_{2}$ if $f_{\mathrm{I}}(r) \leq f_{2}(r)$ for all $r$ in $\mathbf{Z}$.

If $K$ is any ring we shall let $S_{K}$ be the polynomial ring $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}$ is a set of indeterminates that we shall hold fixed from now on. We shall let $T_{X}$ denote the set of all monomials in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. For $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ let $\mathrm{Gr}_{h}$ be the class of all graded $S_{K}$-modules which are generated by finitely many elements homogeneous of degree $\leq h$ where it is assumed that $K$ varies over the class of all fields. It is well known that if $M$ is a finitely generated graded $S_{K}$-module and $K$ a field, the function $\operatorname{dim} M$ defined by $(\operatorname{dim} M)(n)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} M_{n}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, is a dimension function, and its degree is $<m$. (This in fact follows immediately from 1.1.3 and 1.1.5 though that proof is fundamentally different from the usual one.) Given $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ let $F_{h}$ denote the set of all dimension functions $\operatorname{dim} M$ for $M$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_{h}$.
1.1. Theorem. Let $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ and let $f \in F_{h}$. Then there exists a number $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that if $g \in F_{h}$ and $g(s) \leq f(s)$ whenever $s \leq r$, then $g \leq f$.

By hypothesis $f=\operatorname{dim} M$ where for some field $K, M$ is a graded $S_{K}$-module generated by finitely many elements homogeneous of degree $\leq h$. If we fix $M$ and use it to define $r(=r(M))$, the value of $r$ obtained will certainly be $\geq h$. Therefore to obtain an $r$ that depends only on $f$ (and not on how $M$ is chosen) we can let $r=\min \{r(M): \operatorname{dim} M=f\}$. The number $r$ that is given by 1.1 will be denoted by $r_{0}(f, h, m-1)$ ( $m-1$ indicates the degree of $f$ ). The function $f_{h}$ defined by $f_{h}(s)=f(h+s)$ is $\operatorname{dim} M(h)$ where $M(h)$ is the graded $S_{K}$-module isomorphic to $M$ as an $S_{K}$-module but graded by the rule $(M(h))_{n}=M_{h+n}$. We note that $M(h)$ is generated by its elements that are homogeneous of degree $\leq 0$ and so $f_{h} \in F_{0}$. Suppose we find $r$ in $\mathbf{Z}$ such that when $g^{\prime} \in F_{0}, f_{h}(s) \geq g^{\prime}(s)$ for $s \leq r-h$ implies $f_{h} \geq g^{\prime}$. Then it will follow that $g \in F_{h}$ and $f(s) \geq g(s)$ for all $s \leq r$ implies $f_{h}(s) \geq g_{h}(s)$ for all $s \leq r-h$ and that $g_{h} \in F_{0}$, thus $f_{h} \geq g_{h}$, and so $f \geq g$. Therefore we only need to prove 1.1 for the case $h=0$. Furthermore $M^{\prime}=\sum_{n \geq 0} M_{n}$ is a graded $S_{K}$-module generated by finitely many elements homogeneous of degree zero. If for $g$ in $F_{0}$ we define $g^{\prime}(s)=g(s)$ for $s \geq 0, g^{\prime}(s)=0$ for $s<0$ we have that $f^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} M^{\prime}$. Evidently if we have $r$ such that $u \in F_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}(s) \geq u(s)$ for $s \leq r \Rightarrow f^{\prime} \geq u$, then $f(s) \geq g(s)$ for $s \leq r$ and $g \in F_{0} \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(s) \geq g^{\prime}(s)$ for $s \leq r$, so $f^{\prime} \geq g^{\prime}$ and so $f \geq g$ (as $r \geq 0$ ). We may therefore assume that $f(s)=0$ if $s<0$ and that therefore $M$ is generated by $M_{0}$.

Consider an $S_{K}$-module $F$ that is free on elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ homogeneous of degree zero. We let $B=\left\{X^{u} e_{j}: u \in \mathbf{N}^{m}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ where $X^{u}=X_{1}^{u_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{u_{m}}$ and note that there are natural bijections $\mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, n\} \cong B \cong 山_{n} \mathbf{N}^{m}$ (=the disjoint union of $n$ copies of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$ ). We write $(u, j) \leq(v, k)$ if $u, v \in \mathbf{N}^{m}, j=k$ and $u_{i} \leq v_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $|u|_{i}=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{i}$ if $u \in \mathbf{N}^{m}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. We shall write $|u|_{m}$ as $|u|$ and call this number the degree of $u$. We write $(u, j)<(v, k)$ if $\left(|u|_{m},|u|_{m-1}, \ldots,|u|_{1}, j\right)$ precedes $\left(|v|_{m},|v|_{m-1}, \ldots,|v|_{1}, k\right)$ in the lexiocographic order on $\mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $(u, j) \neq(v, k)$. We note $u<v \Rightarrow u<v$. The two orders that were just defined on $\mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, m\}$ induce analogous orders and a notion of degree on $B$ and $\mu_{n} \mathbf{N}^{m}$ since all these sets are isomorphic and $<$ and $<$ will be used to denote them also.

If $0 \neq H \in F$ we can write $H=c b+R$ where $0 \neq c \in K, b \in B$ and $R \in \sum_{b^{\prime} \in B, b^{\prime}<b} K b^{\prime}$,
and the pair $(b, c)$ is unique. We cal $b$ the leader of $H$ and $c$ the leading coefficient of $H$.
1.1.1. Lemma. Let $U \subset \mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be infinite. Then there exists an infinite sequence of elements $u_{1}<u_{2}<\cdots$ of elements of $U$.

Obviously it is enough to prove this in the case $n=1$, e.g. when $U \subset \mathbf{N}^{m}$. That case is proved by a routine induction (cf. [2]).

If $U \subset B$ an element $u$ of $U$ will be called primordial if there is no $u^{\prime}$ in $U$ with $u^{\prime}<u$. Let $U_{-}$denote the set of primordial elements of $U$. By the above lemma, \# $U_{-}$ is finite. If $u \in U$ let $u^{\prime}$ be the first element for the order $<$ of the set $\left\{u^{\prime} \in U: u^{\prime} \leq u\right\}$. Then $u^{\prime} \in U_{-}$, so every element of $U$ is $\geq$ some element of $U_{-}$. We call $U$ spreading if $u \geq u^{\prime} \in U \Rightarrow u \in U$. Then $U$ is spreading if and only if $U=\{b \in B: b \geq u$ for some $\left.u \in U_{-}\right\}$. We shall assume definitions analogous to this one are made for subsets of $\mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\amalg_{n} \mathbf{N}^{m}$. For brevity we shall refer to subsets of $\mathbf{N}^{m} \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ or $B$ or of a finite disjoint union of copies of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$ as $m$-dimensional sets.

Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of graded $S_{K}$-graded modules where $F$ is free on elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ homogeneous of degree zero. Let $L$ be the set of all leaders of non-zero elements of $N$. Since $u<v$ implies $u<X_{i} u<X_{i} v$ if $u, v \in B$, it is clear that $L$ is a spreading $m$-dimensional set. Let $M^{\prime}=\sum_{b \in B \backslash L} K b$.

### 1.1.2. Lemma. $F=M^{\prime} \oplus N$.

Evidently $M^{\prime} \cap N=0$ since every non-zero element of $N$ has its leader in $L$ and so can't be in $M^{\prime}$. To show that $F=M^{\prime}+N$ it will suffice to show that $B \subset M^{\prime}+N$. If $B \not \subset M^{\prime}+N$, let $b$ be the first element of $B$ with respect to the order $<$ not in $M^{\prime}+N$. Since $B \backslash L \subset M^{\prime}+N, b \in L$. Therefore $b$ is the leader of an element $H$ of $N$ and we may assume that $H=b+R$ where $R$ is a linear combination over $K$ of elements $b^{\prime}$ of $B$ with $b^{\prime}<b$. By the hypothesis on $b$ each such $b^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}+N$, so $b=H-R \in N+M^{\prime}+N=M^{\prime}+N$, a contradiction.

If $V$ is an $m$-dimensional set and $r \in \mathbf{N}$, let $V(r)$ be $\{v \in V:|v|=r\}$ whenever $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $(\# V)(r)=\#(V(r)), r \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have $\# B(r)=n(\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r, m-1))$ where $\operatorname{bin}(p, q)=p(p-1) \cdots(p-q+1) / q$ ! when $p \geq q>0, \operatorname{bin}(p, 0)=1$ if $p \geq 0$, and $\operatorname{bin}(p, q)=0$ if $p<q$ or if $q<0$. By 1.1.2

$$
\operatorname{dim} M_{r}=\operatorname{dim} M_{r}^{\prime}=\#(B \backslash L)(r)=n[\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r, m-1)]-\# L(r)
$$

The following is now immediate.
1.1.3. Lemma. If $f \in F_{0}$ and $f(0) \leq n$, there exists a spreading subset $V$ of $\amalg_{n} \mathbf{N}^{m}$ such that $f(r)=n[\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r, m-1)]-\# V(r)$ whenever $r \geq 0$.

From 1.1.3 it is easy to see that our theorem will result from the following theorem.
1.1'. Theorem. Let $V$ be a spreading $m$-dimensional set. There exists $r$ in $\mathbf{Z}$ such that if $W$ is also a spreading $m$-dimensional set and \# $W(s) \geq \# V(s)$ for $s \leq r$, then $\# W \geq \# V$.

When $V$ is contained in a finite disjoint union of copies of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$, say $V=$ $V_{1} \amalg \cdots \amalg V_{n}$ where each $V_{j}$ is contained in a copy of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$, we shall call each $V_{j}$ a summand of $V$. We note that $1.1^{\prime}$ is obvious for the case $m=1$ as we can let $r$ be the maximum of the $\left\{|v|: v \in V_{-}\right\}$.

If $v \in \mathbf{N}^{m}$ we let $F(v)$, the fan of $v$, be $\left\{w \in \mathbf{N}^{m}: w \geq v\right\}$. When $v$ belongs to a disjoint union of copies of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$ it is to be understood that $F(v)$ is entirely contained in the summand that contains $v$. We note that $\#(F(v))(r)=\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r-|v|, m-1)$. If $V$ is an $m$-dimensional spreading set, any subset of $V$ that can be mapped in a one-to-one degree-preserving manner onto an ( $m-1$ )-dimensional spreading set will be called a cut of $V$. If $V$ is an $m$-dimensional spreading set with exactly $n$ distinct non-empty summands, we shall say that $V$ is of type $n$.
1.1.4. Lemma. (1) Let $V$ be a spreading $m$-dimensional set whose summands are $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ and let a be in $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Then $V_{u}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left\{v \in V_{j}: v_{m}=a\right\}$ is a cut of $V$.
(2) Let $V$ be a spreading set of type $n$. Then if $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ lie in distinct summands of $V, V \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} F\left(v_{j}\right)$ is a cut of $V$.

For proving (1) or (2) of 1.1.4 we may assume $V \subset \mathbf{N}^{m}$. For (1), observe that the map $V_{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{m-1}$ defined by $v \rightarrow\left(v_{1}+a, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$ does what is required. For (2) we need to show that $v \in V \Rightarrow V \backslash F(v)$ is a cut of $V$. Whenever $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq c<v_{i}$ define $V_{i c}=\left\{w \in V: w_{i}=c\right.$ and $w_{j} \geq v_{j}$ if $\left.i<j \leq m\right\}$. This defines exactly $v_{1}+\cdots+v_{m}$ different sets $V_{i c}$. If $w \in V_{i c} \cap V_{j d}$ we cannot have $j>i$ as then $d=w_{j} \geq v_{j}$ whereas we are assuming $d<v_{j}$. Since by symmetry we cannot have $j<i$ either it follows that $j=i$ and so $c=w_{i}=w_{j}=d$. Thus $V_{i c} \cap V_{j k} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow(i, c)=(j, d)$. If $w \in \mathbf{N}^{m} \backslash F(b)$, $w_{i}<v_{i}$ for some $i$ and if $i$ is taken as large as possible, $w \in V_{i c}$ where $c=w_{i}$. It follows that $V \backslash F(v)=\bigcup V_{i c}$. If $i>1$,

$$
v \rightarrow\left(v_{1}+c, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)
$$

defines a degree-preserving map of $V_{i c}$ onto a spreading subset of $\mathbf{N}^{m-1}$ and

$$
v-\left(v_{2}+c, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)
$$

does the same for $V_{1 c}$. This proves (2) of 1.1.4.
1.1.5. Lemma. If $V \neq a, \# V$ is a dimension function of degree $m-1$ and the type of $\# V$ is the type of $V$.

To establish 1.I.5 for any particular value of $m$ it is enough to show that when $\theta \neq V \subset \mathbf{N}^{m}, \neq V$ is a dimension function of degree $m-1$ and type 1 . For $m=1$, $\# V(r)=\operatorname{bin}(r-s, 0)$ where $s$ is the first element of $V$. For $m>1$ we can assume 1.1.5 is established for all smaller values of $m$. Let $v \in V$. Then $V \backslash F(v)$ is a cut $W$ by 1.1.4. Then $\# V(r)=(\# F(v))(r)+\# W(r)$ and by our inductive assumption $\# W=0$ or is a dimension function of degree $m-2$. Thus

$$
\# V(r)=\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r-|v|, m-1)+\# W(r)
$$

Since $\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r-|v|, m-1)$ is of degree $m-1$ and has type 1 , the same is true for \# $V(r)$ and so 1.1 .5 follows.
1.1.6. Remark. A closer look at this last proof shows we can find a finite ordered set $S$ and a function

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): S \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, m\} \times \mathbf{N}
$$

with the following properties:
(1) $\# V(r)=\sum_{s \in S} \operatorname{bin}\left(m-f_{1}(s)+r-f_{2}(s), m-f_{1}(s)\right)$.
(2) $s<s^{\prime}$ implies $f_{1}(s) \leq f_{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ and $f_{2}(s) \leq f_{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$.

To prove $1.1^{\prime}$ for $m>1$ set $n=$ type \#V and note that $\# \mathbf{N}^{m}(r)=\operatorname{bin}(m-1+r, m-1)$ has type 1 , so for some $a$ in $\mathbf{N}$ we have $(n-1) \# \mathbf{N}^{m}(a)<\ddot{\#} V(a)$. Thus if $W$ is any $m$-dimensional spreading set and $\# W(a) \geq \# V(a), n-1$ summands of $W$ cannot contain $W(a)$. Put another way, there will exist points $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ of $W(a)$ that lie in distinct summands of $W$. In particular for our fixed $V$ we can fix elements $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V(a)$ that lie in distinct summands $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ of $V$ respectively. The other summands of $V$ are then empty so we can assume $V=V_{1} \amalg \cdots \amalg V_{n}$. Then by 1.1.4, $V^{\prime}=V \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} F\left(v_{j}\right)$ is a cut of $V$. Thus $\# V^{\prime}$ is the dimension of an $(m-1)$ dimensional spreading set. We have also that

$$
V=F\left(v_{1}\right) \amalg F\left(v_{2}\right) \amalg \cdots \amalg F\left(v_{n}\right) \amalg V^{\prime} .
$$

We may assume that the theorem holds for all smaller values of $m$ and thus that there exists $b$ in $\mathbf{N}$ such that if $W^{\prime}$ is any finite disjoint union of cuts of $\mathbf{N}^{m}$, \# $W^{\prime}(s) \geq \# V^{\prime}(s)$ for $s \leq b$ implies \# $W^{\prime} \geq \# V^{\prime}$. Let $r=\sup (a, b)$.

Assume now that $W$ is as in the statement of $1.1^{\prime}$ with $r$ as we have chosen it. We need to show that $\# W \geq \# V$. Since $\# W(a) \geq \# V(a)$, it follows (as was noted above) that there exist $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ in $W(a)$ lying in distinct summands $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{n}$ of $W$ respectively. Let $W_{n+1}, \ldots, W_{h}$ be the remaining summands of $W$. Let
and let

$$
W^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left[W_{j} \backslash F\left(w_{j}\right)\right]
$$

Then

$$
W \supset Z=F\left(w_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup F\left(w_{n}\right) \cup W^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}
$$

and this union is disjoint. It will suffice to show that $\# Z \geq \# V$. Now if $s \leq r$, $Z(s)=W(s)$, so \# $\left(W^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}\right)(s) \geq \# V^{\prime}(s)$ and by 1.1.4 $W^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ are cuts. Also $b \leq r$. Therefore, by the reasoning noted above, our inductive hypothesis implies $\#\left(W^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \# V^{\prime}$ so

$$
\# Z=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \# F\left(w_{j}\right)+\#\left(W^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \# F\left(v_{j}\right)+\# V^{\prime}=\# V .
$$

Unlike the theorem in [1], $m$ is fixed in the following result.

### 1.2. Theorem. If $h \in \mathbf{Z}, F_{h}$ contains no infinite strictly decreasing sequence.

If $M^{1}, M^{2}, \ldots$ is an infinite sequence of members of $\mathrm{Gr}_{h}$ such that $f_{1}>f_{2}>\cdots$ where $f_{j}=\operatorname{dim} M^{j}$ then the sequence $f_{1}^{\prime} \geq f_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots$ where $f_{j}^{\prime}(r)=f_{j}(r+h)$ if $r \geq 0$, $f_{j}^{\prime}(r)=0$ if $r<0$ has an infinite strictly decreasing subsequence. Also $f_{j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} M^{\prime j}$ where $M^{\prime j}=\sum_{r \geq 0} M_{r+h}^{j}$. Therefore in looking for a contradiction we can assume that $h=0$ and also that $f_{j}(r)=0$ for every $j$ if $r<0$. Also evidently (by omitting a finite number of the $f_{j}$ ) we may assume that all $f_{j}(0)$ have the same value $n$. Then $\operatorname{dim} M^{j}=n \# \mathbf{N}^{m}-\# V_{j}$ by 1.1 .3 where $V_{j}$ is a spreading subset of $\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathbf{N}^{m}$. It will suffice to show that $\# V_{j}$ cannot increase indefinitely. The number of non-empty summands of $V_{j}$ eventually is constant and we shall now redefine $n$ to be that constant number. We shall also discard the (finitely many) $V_{j}$ with fewer than $n$ summands. Then we have $a \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\# V_{j}(a)>(n-1)[\operatorname{bin}(m-1+a, m-1)]$ for all $j$. Considering now any particular value of $j$ we note there exist elements $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V_{j}(a)$ that lie in distinct summands. Therefore

$$
V_{j}=V_{j}^{\prime} \cup F\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup F\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

where $V_{j}^{\prime}=V_{j} \backslash \bigcup_{h=1}^{n} F\left(v_{h}\right)$ and evidently this union is disjoint. Examination of the proof of 1.1.4 shows that $V_{j}^{\prime}$ has a degree-preserving isomorphism with a spreading subset of $\amalg_{n a} \mathbf{N}^{m-1}$. After making these definitions for each $j$ we shall have $\# V_{1}^{\prime}<\# V_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots$ where the $V_{j}^{\prime}$ are essentially spreading subsets of $\amalg_{n a} \mathrm{~N}^{m-1}$. That contradicts the case $m-1$ that we can assume (by induction) already to be established.

## 2. Applications to graded modules over a general polynomial ring

If $R$ is any (commutative) ring an $R$-field is any homomorphism of rings $\phi: R \rightarrow K$ with $K$ a field. We usually take $\phi$ for granted and refer to $K$ as the $R$-field. The following lemma is recalled for the reader's convenience.
2.1. Lemma. Let $S$ be any ring (commutative or not) and $M$ an $S$-module. The following are equivalent:
(1) There is a surjection $F \rightarrow M$ of left $S$-modules such that $F$ is finitely generated free and the kernel is a finitely generated submodule of $F$;
(2) $M$ is finitely generated and any surjection $F \rightarrow M$ of $S$-modules where $F$ is finitely generated has a finitely generated kernel.

A module which has the equivalent properties of 2.1 is called finitely presented. A reference for 2.1 is [3].

An $R$-module is called regular if it is finitely generated and projective. A finitely generated graded $S_{R}$-module $M$ is called regular if every $M_{r}$ is a regular $R$-module (which does not imply it is regular when considered as a non-graded $S_{R}$-module). If $M$ is any finitely generated graded $S_{R}$-module and $K$ is an $R$-field, let $f_{M, K}(r)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(K \otimes \otimes_{R} M_{r}\right)$ for every $r$ in $\mathbf{Z}$. We call $f_{M, K}$ a dimension function of $M$, and note that it is indeed a dimension function in the sense of the definition given previously. If $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ we let $f_{M, p}=f_{M, K}$ where $K=$ qf $R / p$.
2.2. Theorem. Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $S_{R}$-module and consider the following properties of $M$ :
(1) $M$ is finitely presented as an $S_{R}$-module;
(2) $M$ has only finitely many dimension functions.

Then $(1)=(2)$ and, if $M$ is regular, $(2)=(1)$.
2.2.1. Lemma. (1) Let $M$ be a finitely generated module over a ring $R, p$ a prime ideal of $R$ and $K=\mathrm{qf} R / p$. If $\operatorname{dim}_{K} K \otimes_{R} M=n$, there exists a $g$ in $R \backslash p$ such that $M[1 / g]=R[1 / g] \otimes_{R} M$ is generated as a $R[1 / g]$-module by $n$ elements.
(2) If furthermore $M$ is regular, $g$ can be chosen so that $M[1 / g]$ is a free $R[1 / g]$ module on $n$ generators.

To prove 2.2.1 let $F$ be a free $R$-module on $n$ generators $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $M$ be chosen so that their images in $k(p) \otimes_{R} M$ generate that $k(p)$-vector space. Let $\phi: F \rightarrow M$ be the $R$-module map that sends $e_{j}$ to $x_{j}$ for each $j$. Since

$$
k(p) \otimes \phi: k(p) \otimes_{R} F \rightarrow k(p) \otimes_{R} M
$$

is an isomorphism, the cokernel $C$ of $\phi_{p}: F_{p} \rightarrow M_{p}$ is zero and so $C[1 / g]$ is zero for some $g$ in $R \backslash p$. That makes $\phi[1 / g]$ surjective and (1) of 2.2 .1 therefore follows. To prove (2) note that the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

where $N=$ ker $\phi$ implies an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow k(p) \otimes_{R} N \rightarrow k(p) \otimes_{R} F \rightarrow k(p) \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow 0
$$

so $k(p)()_{R} N=0$ which implies that $N[1 / g]=0$ for some $g$ in $R \backslash p$, and (2) follows.
In proving 2.2 the implication $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ will be shown first. If $M$ is a finitely
presented graded $S_{R}$-module it is well known (and easy to prove) that $M \equiv R \otimes_{R^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ where $R^{\prime}$ is a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbf{Z}$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a finitely generated $S_{R^{\prime}}$-module. Evidently any dimension function of $M$ is also a dimension function of $M^{\prime}$, so to show that $M$ has only finitely many dimension functions it will suffice to show the same is true for the $R^{\prime}$-module $M^{\prime}$. Thus we can assume to begin with that $R$ is noetherian.

Let $p_{1}$ be any minimal prime ideal of $R$ and $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{h}$ the others. Assume that $M$ is generated by its elements that are homogeneous of degree $\leq h$ and let $r$ be the number of 1.1 for $h$ and the dimension function $f=\operatorname{dim} k\left(p_{1}\right) \otimes_{R} M$. As $f(s) \neq 0$ for only finitely many $s \leq r$ we may use 2.1 .1 to choose an element $g$ of $R \backslash p_{1}$ such that $M_{s}[1 / g]$ is generated as an $R[1 / g]$-module by $f(s)$ elements for each $s \leq r$. Then if $q \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $g \notin q \supset p_{1}, f_{M, q} \geq f$ but also $f_{M, q}(s) \leq f(s)$ whenever $s \leq r$, so by 1.1, $f_{M, q}=f$. Let $I_{0}$ be the ideal $g p_{2} \cdots p_{h}$ of $R$. If $q \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $f_{M, q} \neq f, q \supset I_{0}$. Therefore the dimension functions of $M$ other than $f$ are all dimension functions of the graded $S_{R / I_{0}}$-module $M / I_{0} M$. Also $I_{0} \neq(0)$ because $I_{0} \not \subset p_{1}$.

It is now clear that if $R$ is a noetherian ring and $M$ any finitely generated graded $S_{R}$-module with infinitely many dimension functions, there is a (proper) non-zero ideal $I_{0}$ of $R$ such that the graded $S_{R / /_{0}}$-module $M / I_{0} M$ has infinitely many dimension functions. It follows, by considering $R / I_{0}$ and $M / I_{0} M$, that there exists an ideal $I_{1} \supsetneq I_{0}$ of $R$ with $I_{1} \neq R$ such that $M / I_{1} M$ is a graded $S_{R / I_{1}}$-module with infinitely many dimension functions. Indefinite repetition of this procedure gives an infinite strictly increasing sequence $I_{0} \subsetneq_{\mp} I_{\mp} I_{2} \subsetneq_{\exists} \cdots$ of ideals of $R$, contradicting the fact that $R$ is noetherian. It follows that $M$ cannot have infinitely many dimension functions.

Before we show the implication (2) $=(1)$ of 2.2 (for $M$ regular) let us note that the regularity assumption is needed. For this we can let $R$ be a ring with only one prime ideal where that ideal is not finitely generated but does have a sequence of generators $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots$. Let $m=1, X=X_{1}$ and let $M_{r}=R /\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right), r \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $X: M_{r} \rightarrow M_{r+1}$ be induced by id ${ }_{R}$ for all $r$ and let $M=\sum M_{r}$. Then $M$ has only one dimension function but evidently is not finitely presented.

Assume now that $M$ is regular, is generated by $\bigcup_{s \leq h} M_{h}$, and has finitely many dimension functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}$. By 1.1 there exists $r$ in $\mathbf{N}$ such that if $f \in F_{h}, f \geq f_{i}$ for some $i$ with $f(s)=f_{i}(s)$ for all $s \leq r$ then $f=f_{i}$. Let $u: P \rightarrow M$ be a surjection of graded $S_{R}$-modules where $P$ is finitely generated and free on elements of degree $\leq h$. Let $N=$ ker $u$. Let $N^{\prime} \subset N$ be the $S_{R}$-module of $N$ generated by all the $N_{s}$ with $s \leq r$. Then $N^{\prime}$ is finitely generated. Let $M^{\prime}=P / N^{\prime}$ and let $v: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be the canonical map. It will suffice to show that $v$ is an isomorphism. If $K$ is any $R$-field, $\mathrm{id}_{K} \otimes_{R} v$ is a surjective homomorphism of graded $S_{K}$-modules which have identical dimension functions (because of the way $r$ was picked) so it is an isomorphism. Thus for $n$ in $\mathbf{Z}, \mathrm{id}_{K} \otimes v_{n}$ (where $v_{n}: M_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{n}$ ) is an isomorphism. It follows that if $p=\mathrm{Ann}_{R} K$, $\operatorname{id}_{R_{p}} \otimes_{R} v_{n}$ is an isomorphism since by the flatness assumption $\left(M_{n}\right)_{p}$ is a free module over $R_{p}$. Now as $p$ is an arbitrary prime ideal of $R, v_{n}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $v$ is an isomorphism, so $N^{\prime}=N$ and $M$ is finitely presented.

Because of (2) of 2.1 .1 it is clear that if $M$ is a finitely presented flat $R$-module and $C$ is a connected component of $\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{dim}_{k(p)} k(p) \otimes_{R} M$ is constant for $p$ in $C$. It follows that if $M$ is a regular graded $S_{R}$-module the $f_{M, p}$ for $p$ in $C$ are all the same. The following is therefore an immediate corollary of 2.2.
2.3. Corollary. If Spec $R$ has only finitely many connected components, then many finitely generated regular graded $S_{R}$-module is finitely presented.

The following example shows that the hypothesis of 2.3 is needed.
2.4. Example. Let $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of fields all isomorphic to a given field $k$, and let $R$ be $\prod_{r \geq 0} k_{r}$ considered as a ring in the usual way. For $r$ in $\mathbf{N}$ let $e_{r}$ be the element of $R$ defined by $\left(e_{r}\right)_{s}=0$ for $s<r$ and $=1_{k_{s}}$ for $s \geq r$. Let $M_{r}$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by $e_{r}$ and define $X: M_{r} \rightarrow M_{r+1}$ by $X e_{r}=e_{r+1}$ for all $r$ in $\mathbf{N}$. Then $M=\sum_{r \geq 0} M_{r}$ is a graded $R[X]$-module and is generated by the single element $\left(e_{0}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$ of $M_{0}$. If $r \in \mathbf{N}, p_{r}=\left\{a \in R: a_{r}=0\right\}$ is a maximal ideal of $R$ and $k\left(p_{r}\right) \cong k_{r}$. Also $k_{r} \otimes_{R} M_{s} / p_{r} M_{s} \cong k$ or 0 accordingly as $s \leq r$ or $s>r$. The dimension function $f_{r}=f_{p_{r}}$ is therefore given by $f_{r}(s)=1$ or 0 accordingly as $s \leq r$ or $s>r$. Thus $M$ is not finitely presented. However each $M_{r}$ is a regular $R$-module because $R=N_{r} \oplus M_{r}$ where $N_{r}$ is the ideal of $R$ consisting of all those elements $R$ with $a_{5}=0$ for all $s \geqq r$.
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